

**INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING
RECORD OF DECISION**

PART A

DETAILS OF REPORT <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Title of report	Traffic and Highway improvement projects (1617Q4) – determination of statutory objections
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Environment and the Public Realm
Earliest date when decision can be taken	4 May 2017
Key decision – Yes/No?	No
Date published on forward plan	N/a – non-key decision
Date sent to cabinet member	27 April 2017
Recommendation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is recommended that the objections received against non-strategic traffic management orders are considered and determined as detailed in the appendices to this report and summarised in Figure 1. 2. It is recommended that for each case where the proposals are to be implemented, having either rejected the objections or modified the scheme accordingly, the proposals shall now be implemented 3. It is recommended that officers write to all objectors to detail the outcome of the process.

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Lead officer	Ian Smith, Director of Environment, Environment and Leisure Department
Report author	Matt Hill, Head of Highways, Environment and Leisure Department
Contact Number	020 7 525 3541

PART B

(Cabinet member to complete this section)

DECISION(S)
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. That the objections relating to Kerfield Place (Objection 1), Union Street between Great Guildford Street and Southwark Bridge Road (Objection 3), Edmund Street, Notley Street, Southampton Way, Dobson Walk and Sam King Walk (Objection 6) and Newcomen Street between Borough High Street and 68 Newcomen Street (Objection 7) as summarised in Figure 1 and detailed in Appendix 1 of the report be rejected and the proposals be implemented.2. That consideration of the objections relating to Village Way (Objection 2) and road junctions in the Dulwich Community Council Area (Objection 5) as summarised in Figure 1 and detailed in Appendix 1 of the report be deferred pending further analysis of the objections and impacts by officers.3. That officers write to all objectors to detail the outcome of the process.

REASONS FOR DECISION
For the reasons set out in the report and representations from local ward councillors in respect of Objections 2 and 5.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED
None

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED
Oral representations received from College, East Dulwich and Village ward councillors in respect of Objections 2 and 5.

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED
None

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED
<p><i>Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.</i></p> <p><i>Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.</i></p> <p><i>If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.</i></p>
None

DECLARATION

I approve/~~reject~~ the recommendations set out in the report.*

or

I ~~approved~~ an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*

or

I have ~~referred~~ this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*

(* - Please delete as appropriate)

Signed.......... Dated..... 11.5.17.....

Cabinet Member

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, PO BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7221.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.

